1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 7 MAIGA HRALIMA, Case No. 3:13-cv-00088-MMD-VPC 8 Petitioner, ORDER 9 ٧. 10 STATE OF NEVADA, 11 Respondents. 12 13 This action was commenced by Petitioner through his Motion for Relief from a 14 Void Judgment Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(4) and (6) and 60(d)(3). (Dkt. no. 1.) The action was dismissed on June 17, 2013, as procedurally deficient. (Dkt. no. 15 4.) Judgment was entered the same day. Petitioner, apparently under a mistaken 16 17 impression about the status of these proceedings, now seeks copies of any orders or 18 responses "resulting from the command 'responses' due by 3/15/2013 (KR) (entered: 19 2/26/2013 entry No. 1 of the civil docket." Motion, p. 2. A review of the docket reveals 20 there is no such entry or directive in this case. 21 The Clerk is directed to provide petitioner with a copy of the docket from this 22 action, as well as a copy of the order dismissing it. No further documents will be accepted for filing in this matter except a properly 23 24 designated Notice of Appeal. IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 DATED THIS 1<sup>st</sup> day of July 2013. 27

28

MIRANDA M. DU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE